Case 1:10-cv-00123-GLS-RFT Document 8-5 Filed 02/24/10 Page 1 of 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - X

Jeffrey Charles Burfeindt,

Civil Action No. 1:10-CV-123 **GLS/RFT**

Plaintiff.

- against -

Nina Postupack, Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union, Gerald C. Roth, Esquire, Mark A. Krohn, Esquire, State of New York,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM OF LAW **IN SUPPORT** OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Defendants Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union and Gerald C. Roth, Esquire submit this Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion to Dismiss the Complaint herein pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e).

Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) provides that a defendant may make a motion to dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. It is respectfully submitted that the complaint herein requires such relief.

A review of the subject complaint will show that it contains over 70 pages of rambling, seemingly random allegations and facts. The complaint contains legal arguments and references to other cases both in and out of this jurisdiction. What the complaint does not do is state the basis upon which this complaint is seeking any relief against any of the Defendants therein.

While reference is made in the complaint to the mortgage held by Defendant Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union and the loan given in connection with said mortgage, plaintiff fails to allege what said Defendant has done to cause any damages to plaintiff. Plaintiff has obviously filed the complaint in response to the pending foreclosure action and foreclosure sale in Supreme Court, Ulster County, and any issues with respect to that mortgage should be addressed in State Court within the confines of the foreclosure action. That being said, there is nothing comprehensible alleged in the complaint which would remotely amount to a valid cause of

Case 1:10-cv-00123-GLS-RFT Document 8-5 Filed 02/24/10 Page 2 of 4

action against defendant Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union upon which relief can be granted. Therefore, the complaint should be dismissed against Defendant Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union.

Likewise, the complaint fails to state any cause of action against defendant Gerald C. Roth, Esquire. In fact, the only mention of Gerald C. Roth, Esquire in the complaint seems to be in the caption and that he was an attorney for Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union in the foreclosure action. Nowhere in the complaint's rambling pages does it allege any cause of action or basis for relief against Gerald C. Roth, Esquire, nor does any cause of action arise merely from Gerald C. Roth's representation of Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union in the foreclosure action pending in State Court. As such, the complaint should be dismissed against Defendant Gerald C. Roth, Esq.

Alternatively, Defendants seek dismissal of this action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e). It is respectfully submitted that the complaint herein is so vague and ambiguous that Defendants cannot be expected to reasonably prepare a responsive pleading thereto. The complaint is nothing more than 70 pages of rambling, incoherent allegations, most of which have nothing to do with the parties hereto. Therefore, the Court should dismiss this action on this basis as well.

Alternatively, the Court should Order plaintiff to provide a more definite statement of pleading pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e) if the Court expects the defendants to provide a meaningful response thereto.

Upon review of the complaint, the court should clearly see that most of what is being alleged here has nothing to do with any of the parties to this action. Based on the references therein to other cases and to parties who have nothing to do with this matter, it can only be surmised that Plaintiff copied this complaint from another source and attempted to somewhat adapt it to his circumstances. The Court should not be fooled by these tactics. Clearly, this complaint alleges nothing which amounts to a valid cause of action upon which relief can be granted, and it is nothing more than a waste of this Court's and the Defendants' valuable time.

Case 1:10-cv-00123-GLS-RFT Document 8-5 Filed 02/24/10 Page 3 of 4

WHEREFORE, Defendants' motion to dismiss this action, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) and/or Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(e) should be granted in all respects, and for such other and further relief as to this Court seems just and proper.

Dated: Carle Place, New York February 24, 2010

/s/ Pranali Datta

PRANALI DATTA, ESQ. STEIN, WEINER & ROTH, L.L.P. Attorneys for the Defendants Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union And Gerald C. Roth, Esquire Office & P.O. Address One Old Country Road, Suite 113 Carle Place, New York 11514 (516) 742-1212

TO:

Jeffrey Charles Burfeindt c/o Postal Department 771 Stone Ridge, New York

Jeffrey Charles Burfeindt 80 Pancake Hollow Road Highland, NY 12528

Nina Postupack 244 Fair Street Kingston, New York 12401

Carter, Conboy, Case, Blackmore, Maloney & Laird, P.C. Attorneys for Mark A. Krohn, Esquire 20 Corporate Woods Boulevard Albany, New York 12211

Mark A. Krohn, Esq. 158 Orange Avenue P.O. Box 367 Walden, New York 12586

State of New York The Capitol Albany, New York 12224

Civil Action No.: 1:10-CV-123 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
Jeffrey Charles Burfeindt,
Plaintiff,
- against -
Nina Postupack, Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union, Gerald C. Roth, Esquire, Mark A. Krohn, Esquire, State of New York,
Defendants.
MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS
Stein, Wiener & Roth, L.L.P.
Attorneys for Defendants Hudson Valley Federal Credit Union and Gerald C. Roth, Esq. Office and Post Office Address, Telephone One Old Country Road, Suite 113 Carle Place, New York 11514 (516) 742-1212 51441/XHUDSON
 То
Attorney(s) for
Service of a copy of the within
Dated,
Attorneys(s) for Compliance with Rule 130-1.1a /s/ Pranali Datta Pranali Datta, Esq.